NO. 94118-1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

INLAND EMPIRE DRYWALL SUPPLY CO.,	
Respondent, v.	MOTION TO STRIKE PETITIONER'S REPLY TO ANSWER TO PETITION FOR REVIEW
WESTERN SURETY CO.,	
Petitioner.)))

I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

The rules of appellate procedure only permit a party to file a reply opposing an answer to a Petition for Review if the answer raised new issues. Here, the answer did not raise any new issues, and Petitioner improperly filed a reply in violation of RAP 13.4(d). As a result, Respondent respectfully requests that the Court strike Petitioner's reply.

II. IDENTITY OF MOVING PARTY

Respondent Inland Empire Dry Wall Supply, Co. respectfully asks the Court for the relief designated in Section III.

III. RELIEF SOUGHT BY MOVING PARTY

Respondent asks the Court to strike Petitioner's Reply to Answer to Petition for Review.

IV. FACTS RELEVANT TO MOTION

Petitioner filed an improper reply on March 27, 2017.

V. ARGUMENT AND GROUNDS FOR RELIEF

The rules of appellate procedure permit a party to file a reply brief to the opposing party's answer to a petition for review <u>only if</u> the answer has raised new issues not addressed in the original petition. RAP 13.4(d). Contrary to Petitioner's assertions, Respondent did not cross-petition and raised no new issues in the Answer to the Petition for Review. The reply should be stricken, as it is not authorized by the appellate rules.

//
//
//
//
//

//

VI. CONCLUSION

Respondent respectfully requests that the Court strike Petitioner's improper Reply to Answer to Petition for Review.

DATED this <u>5</u> day of April, 2017.

DUNN & BLACK, P.S.

SUSAN C. NELSON, WSBA #35637

Attorney for Respondent

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

	t on the 5th day of April, 2017, I rect copy of the foregoing document
 □ HAND DELIVERY ☑ U.S. MAIL □ OVERNIGHT MAIL □ FAX TRANSMISSION ☑ EMAIL 	Timothy G. Klashke Kuffel, Hultgrenn, Klashke, Shea & Ellerd, LLP 1915 Sun Willows Blvd., Suite A P.O. Box 2368 Pasco, WA 99302
(Jullie Garrett